P37 0:00

Thank you, I totally forgot

the recording. Nice. So just to reiterate, I'm a committee member, and then my value, or what I'm concerned about is about your funding of behavior and community in my community. So I want a model to have a lower false negative rate up just so my neighborhood is safe. So the models that I that are my top choices are model number eight, which has a false negative rate of 18%. Overall, I'm gonna look at it in the Google Drive. And then my second choice is model number two, which has a false negative rate of 29.8%. Overall.

P38 0:42

Yeah, I can go ahead Next, I am concerned about how this these predictive models for different types of communities and how they're judged because they belong to a certain community. So I would like to bring down the disparity between the two And you know, how we church without one community, or one community is treated compared to other. So I would like to such as model two, I would really like the disparity to be the world in the false positive as well as false negatively.

P39 1:25

Um, for me, since I'm a defendant, like, I obviously don't want to get convicted again. So then I'm hoping that they like

with so much for it, I'm sorry. Um,

I don't want people to like falsely predict that I will re offend again, especially since I won't be offensive. I'm looking at something that has a low false positive rate. So my first option would be five and the second option would be seven point

Unknown Speaker 2:04

Do you have any preferred models handle?

Unknown Speaker 2:09

I

Unknown Speaker 2:11

pick up model number three

Unknown Speaker 2:16

needs some sort of like oh,

Unknown Speaker 2:18

my god Dockery, Nina Chris Jasmine. So that's why I picked the number three and then my second choice is more than number seven.

Unknown Speaker 2:30

And

P37 2:31

someone else said something right for their choice. Yeah sub seven. Okay, so I guess so the judge and defendant, de overlapping number seven and then community member and fairness advocate, V overlapping I think model number two

P39 3:00

Watch. Oh, thank you for thinking. Sorry. Good. I'm

sure also right down the way I don't remember the fairness African. It was two and then something else.

P38 3:12

I said, Oh, I didn't say that. But it's the second choice when before.

Unknown Speaker 3:18

Thank you. Yeah.

P39 3:21

Should we also write down our values like basically why we chose what we chose?

Unknown Speaker 3:26

Yeah.

Unknown Speaker 4:00

I guess we could join out right? How long yeah

P37 5:13

So I guess if we're ending at 440, we have like two minutes to do. So

P38 5:22

let's see so far. So is it a possibility to for the judge and the defendant to consider model to content we can if it's not, then we can flip around and consider numbers and

P39 5:36

like, honestly, model two is very bad for me just because it has like a very high false positive rate. Right. So like, um, I would honestly avoid to an eight at all costs.

P37 5:50

Okay, and then number seven, yeah, for me, it's like the thing that has a really high false negative rate, which is like Sony APR 7%. So I'll be happy. Hard to kind of get my

consent.

P38 6:06

There is it It's fine if we if we don't agree on a model. That's fine, I guess. Right. But we just mentioned that.

P37 6:16

Yeah, I guess like it might be worth. I'll just like take a quick look at the other ones just to see if there's one that's in the middle.

I guess one option would probably be model number six, which is kind of in the middle for false positive and false negative. It's at 3530 ish percent, and administrator.

Unknown Speaker 6:51

It's kind of Oh,

P38 6:54

yeah, it's bad for fairness. I mean, there's too much of disparity in Models Yeah.

P37 7:02

Yeah, I guess yeah. I think he has the highest Yeah.

Unknown Speaker 7:06

Oh,

P39 7:08

what about seven?

Unknown Speaker 7:11

Oh, it's seven. Yeah. Yeah.

P39 7:15

Cuz like, um, as a judge, you would want a high accuracy rate. So then technically you would get that from seven. But then I'm the community member who wants a false negative rate probably would not get that.

P37 7:30

Yeah, it's like, I think it's the highest one out of all babies.

Unknown Speaker 7:49

Yeah,

P37 7:50

I sort of like this. So I like two more minutes, I'll quickly read up something. I don't think we're going to be able to reach a consensus.

P39 7:58

So what we're looking at is

accuracy, low false negative rates, load disparity and low false positive rate.

P37 8:13

Yeah. Before different, okay.

Carry on.

P38 8:26

Accuracy is like high high accuracy.

P39 8:40

Honestly though, like if I'm looking for accuracy, or I'm sorry, young going on, um, if I'm looking at with the accuracy numbers, I'm like most of them range between like 60 and 70, right like that.

P38 8:57

Yeah, then they're not actually very far apart.

P39 9:02

Let's look at the other ones.

P37 9:04

Other options maybe before which is also it's like pretty low disparity and false negative false positive is like kind of okay

P38 9:15

yeah for escort Yeah.

P37 9:19

So just about 48.5% is a bit worried for me

Unknown Speaker 9:26

for me

P39 9:28

cuz like SPR wait. Like

Unknown Speaker 9:43

I honestly don't know how they managed to get through

Unknown Speaker 9:48

this. How did they get out negatively and

Unknown Speaker 9:54

quickly make up

**P39 10:06**

Should we come up with a value that we think like for each person? Should we come up with a value like a range that we think we want for our What is it called an idea, right? Yeah. Sure, that might be better for us to like shoot aside, right?

P38 10:27

We can make yo

P39 10:33

maybe like optimal and then optimal value and then are still would be willing to accept value.

Unknown Speaker 10:43

I mean,

Unknown Speaker 10:52

so

P37 10:55

often ultimate would probably be around the same I think this

P38 11:17

parameters or is it something?

P37 11:20

Oh, whichever makes the most sense for me since its rate I'm just saying like percentage

Unknown Speaker 11:27

yeah

Unknown Speaker 12:03

There's five minutes left.

P39 12:46

But for the accuracy should it be greater than 65? Yeah

And then I'm sure we go back to like the model and then write out whichever model fits are like optimal and willing to accept. And then after that we can like, come back and then look if we have any overlaps. What do you guys think? Yeah,

Unknown Speaker 13:18

sure. Yeah. Yeah, it's good. Yeah.

Unknown Speaker 13:55

Say for some weird reason

P37 14:32

Oh, we didn't put in the wrong place. Sorry.

I think we only have three minutes left so I can start wrapping up writing out our discussion How come I'm so it looks like

Unknown Speaker 15:01

We don't have any

Unknown Speaker 15:05

wait. Um

Unknown Speaker 15:07

Oh.

P37 15:08

Oh, this is supposed to go here because I accidentally wrote Oh, this is mine. Oh, that's yours. Oh, Okay, nevermind anyway. Oh, did you also

P39 15:17

have the same numbers

P37 15:18

in there? I wrote mine here. First. I put under load is very when I was supposed to put it here. So. Oh, okay. Get down by one.

Unknown Speaker 15:26

Oh, wait, who had

P39 15:29

Lotus for you?

Unknown Speaker 15:32

Give me over.

P37 15:34

Oh, okay, so we are closing in less than a minute.

P39 15:42

Wait, so right now, I see three fives.

P37 15:47

Yeah, I think that was the one that had a pretty

P39 15:51

Yeah, it had like a good FPR a low F and not a bad FMR and then a good disparity.

Unknown Speaker 15:59

Yeah, let's look Just write this down okay model what we need and

P39 16:17

I'm gonna write it down or shaurya

P38 16:19

will be an agreement mortified.

P37 16:22

Oh, it has a really hot a high false negative rate so I can't Yeah, I'm against it

Unknown Speaker 16:30

however
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